

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

PAPER

12/03/2010

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/596,686 05/03/2007 Norbert Deutloff B118.12-0029 9504 27367 12/03/2010 EXAMINER WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. **SUITE 1400** BOWES, STEPHEN M 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 3657 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) DEUTLOFF ET AL.	
10/596,686		
Examiner	Art Unit	
STEPHEN BOWES	3657	
STELLIER DOMES	3037	

	STEPHEN BOWES	3657		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.		
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I		26(a) and the annualist	o outonalan foo	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		i be entered and an e	xpianation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11.13-16.19.22.24 and 25</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/Robert A. Siconolfi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3657	/STEPHEN BOWES/ Examiner, Art Unit 3657			

As per claim 1, applicant argues that 1ft, however, the movable member 8 was also reparable to rotate, how then should the displacement of provided from the result of the provided from the pro

Applicant argues "From the drawings of the present application, as, for example, supplied in Figures 3 and 5, the features in lines 5 and 6 of presently ending independent claim 1 are very clearly to be construed as enabling the spindle shaft itself to advance" (Page 3, paragraph 3). Applicant further argues "This is immediately clear from Figure 1, because actuation of the brake cable requires shifting movement to pull it, but the drive screw 36 does not exhibit any such shifting movement (Page 4, paragraph 1). Applicant claims "that enables the spindle shaft to advance and to actuate a brake cable" (Claim 1, lines 8-9). The claim has been inprefeted the spindle shaft being used to move the brake cable forward and backwards, not the shaft itself. The claim language only requires the function of brake cable movement and not the specific means.

Applicant argues that "in the Office Action, it has been argued that there would be a torque-transmitting connection between the drive screw 36 and the movable member 8 of Annold et al., which would be formed by nut 42 and the threaded portion 42a" (Page 4, paragraph 3). Applicant claims "a torque-transmitting connection between the remotely-operated drive and the hollow shaft which enables the hollow shaft to move axially relative to the remotely-operated drive." The threaded connection between nut 42a and wobble member 8 is necessarily stiff enough to transmit torque and is located between the electric motor 50 and the outer external portions of movable member 8

Arguments regarding claim 26 were non-specific.